# Hawking radiation of massive modes and undulations

Antonin Coutant,<sup>1,\*</sup> Alessandro Fabbri,<sup>2,†</sup> Renaud Parentani,<sup>1,‡</sup> Roberto Balbinot,<sup>3,§</sup> and Paul R. Anderson<sup>4,||</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 8627, Bâtiment 210, Université Paris-Sud 11, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Universidad de Valencia-CSIC, C. Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universitá di Bologna and INFN sezione di Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27109, USA

(Received 15 June 2012; published 12 September 2012)

We compute the analogue Hawking radiation for modes which possess a small wave vector perpendicular to the horizon. For low frequencies, the resulting mass term induces a total reflection. This reflection is accompanied by an extra mode mixing which occurs in the supersonic region, and which cancels out the infrared divergence of the near horizon spectrum. As a result, the amplitude of the undulation (0-frequency wave with macroscopic amplitude) emitted in white hole flows now saturates at the linear level, unlike what is found in the massless case. In addition, we point out that the mass introduces a new type of undulation which is produced in black hole flows, and which is well described in the hydrodynamical regime.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.064022

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 03.75.Kk, 04.70.Dy

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of the analogue Hawking radiation [1] have shown that a standing (zero-frequency) wave is emitted in the supersonic region of white hole flows [2,3]. This wave possesses a macroscopic amplitude and a short wavelength fixed by the dispersive properties of the medium. Interestingly, it corresponds to some well-known solutions in hydrodynamics [4,5], namely undulations associated with hydraulic jumps. In addition, undulations have been recently observed in water tank experiments [7,8] aiming to detect the analogue Hawking radiation, but their relation with the Hawking effect was not pointed out. This relation was understood in the context of atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC), where the emission of an undulation was explained in terms of a combination of several effects. First,  $n_{\omega}$ , the spectrum of massless phonons spontaneously produced à la Hawking from the sonic horizon diverges like  $1/\omega$  for  $\omega \to 0$ , as in the Planck distribution. Second,  $p_U = p_{\omega=0}$ , the wave number of the undulation is a nontrivial solution of the dispersion relation, and third, its group velocity is oriented away from the horizon.

To see this in more detail, first note that the Bogoliubov dispersion relation in a one-dimensional stationary flow, and for a longitudinal wave vector p, is

$$\Omega = \omega - vp = \pm \sqrt{c^2 p^2 (1 + \xi^2 p^2)},$$
 (1)

where  $\omega$  is the conserved frequency, v is the flow velocity, c is the speed of sound, and  $\xi = \hbar/2m_{\rm at}c$  is the healing length, given in terms of the mass of the atoms  $m_{\rm at}$ .

The  $\pm$  sign refers to positive and negative norm branches, see e.g. Ref. [2] for details. The flow profiles giving rise to a black hole (BH) and a white hole (WH) sonic horizon are represented in Fig. 1. The dispersion relation of Eq. (1) evaluated in the asymptotic supersonic region is plotted in Fig. 2. The zero-frequency roots are  $\pm p_U^{\Lambda}$ , where  $p_U^{\Lambda}$  is

$$p_U^{\Lambda} = \Lambda \sqrt{v_L^2 - c_L^2}.$$
 (2)

In this equation,  $v_L$  and  $c_L$  are the asymptotic values of the velocity and speed of sound in the supersonic region L, and  $\Lambda = 1/c_L \xi_L = 2m_{\rm at}/\hbar$  characterizes the short distance dispersion. This root only exists in a supersonic flow, and its associated group velocity  $v_{gr} = 1/\partial_{\omega}p$  is directed against the flow. Hence, in a BH flow, it is oriented toward the horizon, whereas for a WH one, it is oriented away from it. This explains why the zero-frequency mode only appears in WH flows where it is generated at the sonic horizon. At this point, it should be mentioned that these solutions are not restricted to superluminal dispersion. A completely similar phenomenon exists in fluids characterized by a subluminal dispersion relation, such as that obtained by replacing  $\xi^2 \rightarrow -\xi^2$  in Eq. (1). This time, however, the zero-frequency root, and the corresponding undulation, live in the subsonic R region of the WH flow. This can be understood because of the (approximate) symmetry of the mode equation found in Ref. [3], which replaces a superluminal dispersion by a subluminal one.

When considering elongated quasi-one-dimensional systems, but relaxing the assumption that the phonon excitations are purely longitudinal, the phonon modes are now characterized by their transverse wave number  $p_{\perp}$ , which takes discrete values  $2\pi n/L_{\perp}$ , where *n* is an integer and  $L_{\perp}$  is the characteristic size of the perpendicular dimensions. When  $p_{\perp}^2 \neq 0$ , the modified dispersion relation replacing Eq. (1) is

<sup>\*</sup>antonin.coutant@th.u-psud.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>afabbri@ific.uv.es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>renaud.parentani@th.u-psud.fr

Roberto.Balbinot@bo.infn.it

anderson@wfu.edu



FIG. 1. Shown in this figure are examples of one-dimensional black hole flow (solid line) and white hole flow (dashed line) with regular asymptotic properties. They are related to each other by reversing the sign of the velocity  $v(x) \rightarrow -v(x)$ . In both cases, the subsonic R region |v| < c = 1 is on the right of the horizon, while the supersonic L region is on the left. The near horizon region (NHR), where  $v \sim -1 + \kappa x$  is a good approximation, has a width in units of  $\kappa$  of  $D_L$  on the left and of  $D_R$  on the right.

$$\Omega = \omega - \upsilon p = \pm \sqrt{c^2 (p^2 + p_\perp^2)(1 + \xi^2 (p^2 + p_\perp^2))}.$$
(3)

It is represented in Fig. 3. When  $p_{\perp}^2 \xi^2 \ll 1$ ,  $c^2 p_{\perp}^2$  acts as a mass squared. In this regime, there are two new zero-frequency roots  $\pm p_U^m$ . They live in the hydrodynamical regime, characterized by a relativistic linear dispersion relation. Indeed, in the limit  $\xi^2 p_{\perp}^2 \rightarrow 0$ , and if  $v_L^2/c_L^2$  is not too close to 1,  $p_U^m$  is independent of  $\xi$  and given by



FIG. 2. The solid line represents the positive branch of the comoving frequency  $\Omega$ , while the dashed line represents the negative branch. One clearly sees that the superluminal Bogoliubov dispersion is responsible for the two zero-frequency roots  $\pm p_U^{\Lambda}$ . The sign of the group velocity can be seen from the slope of the solid line at the corresponding root.



FIG. 3. As in Fig. 2, the solid line represents the positive branch of the comoving frequency  $\Omega$ , while the dashed line represents the negative branch. One sees that  $p_{\perp}^2$ , which acts as a mass, is responsible for new zero-frequency roots  $\pm p_U^m$  which occur in the phonon part of the dispersion relation.

$$p_U^m = \frac{c_L p_\perp}{\sqrt{\nu_L^2 - c_L^2}}.$$
(4)

In addition, we note that the group velocity of this new solution has a sign opposite to that of Eq. (2). Hence, this new solution will be emitted in BH flows but not in WH ones.

In brief, we see that the introduction of a perpendicular momentum opens the possibility of finding "massive" undulations in BH flows, which are well described in the hydrodynamical approximation of the underlying condensed matter system. To verify if this is the case, one should see how the mass affects the spectrum, and in particular if it acts as an infrared regulator which saturates the growth of the undulation amplitude found in the massless case [2,3]. In this paper, these issues will be investigated in a simplified context where the phonon modes obey a second-order differential equation which is a massive Klein-Gordon equation in a curved metric. This analogy should work not only for BEC but for other condensed matters systems where the quasiparticle dispersion relation is linear at low frequency. We notice that similar issues related to the Cerenkov effect have been recently discussed in Ref. [9].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we study the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation in a stationary BH metric. We explain how the in/out scattering matrix can be decomposed into three blocks which each encode some aspect of mode mixing of massive fields. In Sec. III, we study three preparatory cases which are then combined so as to obtain the *S*-matrix in a black hole flow similar to that represented in Fig. 1. In Sec. IV, we study the properties of the two-point correlation function in the lowfrequency sector, and its relationship with the undulations.

# II. SETTINGS, MODE MIXING, AND STRUCTURE OF THE S-MATRIX

In this paper, we study the behavior of a massive scalar field in the 1 + 1-dimensional metric

$$ds^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - (dx - v(x)dt)^{2}.$$
 (5)

For simplicity, we impose the condition that the speed of sound is constant, and work in units where  $c^2 = 1$ . The stationary metric of Eq. (5) possesses a Killing field  $K_t = \partial_t$ , whose norm is  $1 - v^2$ . It is timelike in subsonic flows, spacelike in supersonic ones, and it vanishes when  $1 - v^2$  crosses 0. At that place, there is a horizon. In what follows, we work with v < 0 and with monotonic flows  $1 - v^2$  which possess a horizon at x = 0, where v = -1. The definition of the surface gravity of the horizon we shall use is

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (1 - v^2)_{|0|}.$$
(6)

We adopt this local definition, which no longer refers to the norm of  $K_t$  at infinity, because it allows us to compare various geometries starting from the near horizon region (NHR). For a black hole, we have  $\kappa > 0$ , while for a white hole,  $\kappa < 0$ . Unless specified otherwise, we shall only consider black holes. Notice also that we shall work with flow velocities which are either asymptotically bounded or unbounded; in the latter case, there will be a singularity.

The field will be studied at fixed Killing frequency  $\omega = -(K_t)^{\mu}P_{\mu}$ , using a decomposition into stationary modes

$$\phi = \int \phi_{\omega}(x) e^{-i\omega t} d\omega. \tag{7}$$

At fixed  $\omega$ , the Klein-Gordon equation gives

$$[(\omega + i\partial_x \upsilon)(\omega + i\upsilon\partial_x) + \partial_x^2 - m^2]\phi_{\omega}(x) = 0.$$
 (8)

Similar equations are obtained when studying acoustic perturbations on a fluid flow with a velocity profile v(x) [10,11]. In these cases, a nonzero transverse momentum  $p_{\perp}$  plays the role of the mass *m*. In Appendix A, we present the various (effectively) massive wave equations and their differences. In what follows, we consider only Eq. (8), for profiles v(x) which give rise to analytically soluble equations. Yet, we aim to extract generic features. When studying analytically and numerically the phonon mode equation in a Bose condensate and with a varying sound speed [12], we recovered the features found for solutions to Eq. (8).

## A. Classical trajectories

To understand the consequences of the mass on black hole radiation, it is useful to first consider the corresponding classical problem where  $p = (\partial_x)^{\mu} P_{\mu}$  is the momentum of the massive particle at fixed  $\omega$ . In that case, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with Eq. (8) is

$$\Omega^2 = (\omega - v(x)p)^2 = p^2 + m^2, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\Omega = \omega - vp$  is the comoving frequency. Equation (9) admits two roots

$$p_{\pm} = \frac{-\omega \upsilon \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 - m^2(1 - \upsilon^2)}}{1 - \upsilon^2}.$$
 (10)

The classical trajectories obey Hamilton's equations  $dx/dt = 1/\partial_{\omega}p$  and  $dp/dt = -1/\partial_{\omega}x$ . We summarize here their main features with  $\omega > 0$ , see Refs. [13–15] for more details.

(i) Close to the horizon, at first order in  $1 - v^2 \sim 2\kappa x \ll 1$ , one has

$$p_{+} = \frac{\omega}{\kappa x},\tag{11}$$

$$p_{-} = \frac{m^2 - \omega^2}{2\omega}.$$
 (12)

We see that  $p_+$  diverges for  $x \rightarrow 0$  whereas  $p_-$  hardly varies. The corresponding geodesics follow

$$x_{+}(t) = x_{+}^{0} e^{\kappa t}, \tag{13}$$

$$x_{-}(t) = x_{-}^{0} - \frac{2\omega^{2}}{\omega^{2} + m^{2}}t.$$
 (14)

The second trajectory is regularly falling across the horizon, while the first undergoes an infinite focusing in the past, in a mass-independent manner.

- (ii) Far away from the horizon, in the left region (L) for 1 − v<sup>2</sup> < 0, both solutions are moving to the left (since v < 0) even though p<sub>+</sub> < 0 and p<sub>-</sub> > 0.
- (iii) For  $1 v^2 > 0$ , in the right region (R), as long as  $(1 v^2)m^2 < \omega^2$ , there are two real roots. At some point  $x_{tp}$ , we reach  $(1 v^2)m^2 = \omega^2$  where they become complex. This means that the trajectory is reflected and falls back across the horizon. Hence, the asymptotic value of  $1 v^2 > 0$  determines the threshold frequency

$$\omega_R = m\sqrt{1 - v_{\rm as}^2},\tag{15}$$

above which the trajectory is not reflected. When  $\omega < \omega_R$ , there is a single trajectory with  $p_{\omega} > 0$  which starts from the horizon to the right and bounces back across the horizon; see Fig. 4. For  $\omega > \omega_R$  instead, there are 2 disconnected trajectories; one is moving outwards from the horizon, while the other falls in from  $x = \infty$ . As we shall see, the dimensionality of asymptotic modes will be different above and below  $\omega_R$ .

## **B.** Mode mixing

We decompose the field operator in a basis of stationary modes



FIG. 4. In this figure, trajectories of massive particles for a fixed frequency  $\omega > 0$  below the threshold of Eq. (15) are shown. The positive momentum trajectory is reflected in the outside region at the turning point  $x_{tp}(\omega)$  whereas the negative momentum one (or equivalently, the positive momentum one with negative  $\omega$  [33]) propagates in the inside region where the Killing field is spacelike. The negative momentum particle has a negative comoving frequency  $\Omega = \omega - vp$ , and corresponds to a negative norm mode, as we shall see later in the text.

$$\hat{\phi}(t,x) = \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{+\infty} [\hat{a}_{\omega}^{j} \phi_{\omega}^{j}(x) + \hat{a}_{\omega}^{j\dagger} (\phi_{-\omega}^{j}(x))^{*}] e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$
  
+ H.c., (16)

where the discrete index j takes into account the dimensionality of mode basis at fixed  $\omega$ . The basis is orthonormal in the sense of the Klein-Gordon scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{\omega}^{j}|\phi_{\omega'}^{j'}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\phi_{\omega}^{j*}(\omega' + i\upsilon\partial_{x})\phi_{\omega'}^{j'} \\ &+ \phi_{\omega'}^{j'}(\omega - i\upsilon\partial_{x})\phi_{\omega}^{j*}]dx \\ &= \pm \delta(\omega - \omega')\delta_{jj'}. \end{aligned}$$
(17)

Following the standard conventions [16], we name the negative norm modes  $(\phi_{-\omega})^*$  so that  $e^{i\omega t}\phi_{-\omega}$  is a positive norm mode with negative frequency.

To obtain the dimensionality of the mode basis, one must identify the solutions of Eq. (8) which are asymptotically bounded modes (ABM). This requirement univocally picks out a *complete* basis over which the canonical field  $\hat{\phi}$  must be decomposed [17]. Asymptotically, solving the mode equation (8) is equivalent to solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (9). Hence, the dimensionality of the ABM can be found by considering the real roots of Eq. (9). Moreover, the sign of the norm of an asymptotic mode is given by the sign of the corresponding comoving frequency  $\Omega(p_i) = \omega - vp_i$ , as can be seen from Eq. (17).

In addition, because the situation is nonhomogeneous, modes mix and the basis is not unique. As usual, we introduce *in* modes  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}$  and *out* modes  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}$  by examining the mode behavior at early and late times; see the discussion after Eq. (27) for more precision. The S-matrix then relates the *in* and *out* bases. When there is a horizon, these two bases are inequivalent because positive and negative norm modes coexist and mix. To further study the mixing, one should consider separately the frequencies below and above  $\omega_R$  in Eq. (15).

For  $0 < \omega < \omega_R$ , there are two ABM. One has a negative norm and propagates behind the horizon. The other has a positive norm, comes out from the horizon, and bounces back across the horizon; see Fig. 4. The *S*-matrix thus has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in}})^* \end{pmatrix} = S^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out}})^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(18)

To follow the standard definition of the *S*-matrix, we use its transpose here.

For  $\omega > \omega_R$ , there are three ABM. The negative norm one still propagates behind the horizon. The second one has a positive norm, comes out from the horizon, and reaches infinity. The third one comes from infinity and falls into the hole. As in Ref. [17], we denote the first two with the superscript *u* and the last one with *v*, because at high momentum, when the mass is negligible, they follow retarded (*u*) and advanced (*v*) null geodesics. We then define *S* by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in},u} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in},u})^* \\ \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in},v} \end{pmatrix} = S^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{out},u} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out},u})^* \\ \phi_{\omega}^{\text{out},v} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (19)

In this regime, when starting from vacuum, the three *out* occupation numbers  $n_{\omega}^{u}$ ,  $n_{\omega}^{v}$  and  $n_{-\omega}^{u}$  obey  $n_{\omega}^{u} + n_{\omega}^{v} = n_{-\omega}^{u}$  because of the stationarity of the settings. The first two are given by the square of the overlaps

$$n_{\omega}^{\mathrm{u}} = |(\phi_{\omega}^{\mathrm{out,u}}|\phi_{-\omega}^{\mathrm{in,u^*}})|^2, \qquad n_{\omega}^{\mathrm{v}} = |(\phi_{\omega}^{\mathrm{out,v}}|\phi_{-\omega}^{\mathrm{in,u^*}})|^2.$$
(20)

As we shall see, in both cases, it is useful to decompose the total *S*-matrix as

$$S = S_{\text{far}} \cdot S_{\text{ext}} \cdot S_{\text{NHR}}, \qquad (21)$$

where each *S*-matrix describes one step of the *in/out* scattering. The first one,  $S_{\text{NHR}}$ , describes the mode mixing which arises for high momenta  $p \gg m$ , near the horizon where the modes are effectively massless. The second matrix  $S_{\text{ext}}$  encodes the elastic scattering which occurs in the external region R. Below the threshold, it describes the total reflection, while above it governs the grey body factors encoding the partial transmission. The last matrix  $S_{\text{far}}$  describes the mixing occurring in the left region between the two modes which are propagating toward  $x = -\infty$ .

It should be mentioned that this decomposition is not unique, as only the *in/out* S-matrix is univocally defined. However, in the absence of dispersion, each S-matrix is a

solution of a well-defined and independent scattering problem. In addition, this decomposition is very useful as it allows us to compute *S*, and to understand its properties.

# C. Near horizon scattering

We start with  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  because its properties are valid for all metrics possessing a horizon and because they are determined for momenta much higher than the mass and in the immediate vicinity of the horizon. To simplify the mode equation (8), we introduce the auxiliary mode  $\varphi_{\omega}$ 

$$\phi_{\omega}(x) = \frac{e^{-i\omega \int_{1-v^2(x')}^{x} dx'}}{\sqrt{|1-v^2|}} \varphi_{\omega}(x).$$
(22)

Equation (8) is then cast in a canonical form, without the term linear in  $\partial_x$ ,

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \left(\frac{\partial_x^2 \sqrt{|1-v^2|}}{\sqrt{|1-v^2|}} + \frac{m^2}{1-v^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{(1-v^2)^2}\right)\right]\varphi_\omega(x) = 0.$$
(23)

We notice that the norm of  $\varphi_{\omega}$  is, up to a sign, given by the Wronskian

$$W(\varphi) = 2i\pi(\varphi_{\omega}^*\partial_x\varphi_{\omega} - \varphi_{\omega}\partial_x\varphi_{\omega}^*).$$
(24)

Using Eq. (22), one verifies that unit Wronskian  $\varphi_{\omega}$  modes give rise to  $\phi_{\omega}$  modes which have a unit norm with respect to the scalar product of Eq. (17). The relative sign is given by that of the comoving frequency  $\Omega$  of Eq. (9).

In the close vicinity of the horizon, the mass term becomes negligible in Eq. (23). More precisely, in the near horizon region where  $1 - v^2 \sim 2\kappa x$ , keeping only the leading term for  $\kappa x \ll 1$ , one obtains

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\omega^2}{4\kappa^2}\right)\frac{1}{x^2}\right]\varphi_\omega(x) = 0.$$
 (25)

Therefore, the leading behavior of  $\varphi_{\omega}$  is

$$\varphi_{\omega_{\chi \to 0}} \underbrace{\bigoplus_{\chi \to 0} \bigoplus_{\text{focusing on the left}} (-x)A|2\kappa x|^{i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} + \bigoplus_{\text{focusing on the right}} (x)A'|2\kappa x|^{i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}}_{\text{focusing on the right}} + \underbrace{\bigoplus_{\chi \to 0} (-x)B|2\kappa x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} + \bigoplus_{\chi \to 0} (x)B'|2\kappa x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}}_{\text{regularly falling in}}.$$
 (26)

When reexpressing this in terms of the original mode  $\phi_{\omega}$  using Eq. (22), we see that the *B* weighted terms are regular, and are in fact constant. Therefore, they account for the regularity of the left-moving mode as it crosses the horizon. Hence, we impose

$$B = B'. \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, the A parts in Eq. (26) oscillate infinitely around x = 0 and account for high momentum modes living on either side of the horizon, and which are singular on it. As understood by Unruh [18], it is

appropriate to combine them in superpositions which are analytic either in the upper-half, or lower-half, complex x plane. The reason is that their analytical properties guarantee that these modes correctly characterize the stationary vacuum state which is regular across the horizon, and which plays the role of the *in* vacuum. This characterization applies to the  $\phi_{\omega}$  modes which are solutions to Eq. (8). Hence, the modes  $\varphi_{\omega}$  of Eq. (22) are products of a nonanalytic function and an analytic one, which is an Unruh mode:

$$\varphi_{\omega}^{\rm in} \sim \Gamma\left(i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right) \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}}{\sqrt{8\pi^2\kappa}} |x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} \times (x+i\epsilon)^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}}, \qquad (28)$$

$$(\varphi_{-\omega}^{\rm in})^* \sim \Gamma\left(i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right) \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}}{\sqrt{8\pi^2\kappa}} |x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} \times (x-i\epsilon)^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}}.$$
 (29)

We have used Eq. (24) to normalize these modes, and their phases have been chosen in order to obtain simple expressions. When there are turning points, as is the case for dispersive fields [3] and for massive fields, one should pay attention to these phases.

The normalized modes which propagate on either side of the horizon and vanish on the other side are

$$\varphi_{\omega}^{\text{Right}} \sim \Theta(x) \frac{|2\kappa x|^{\frac{i\omega}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}},$$
(30)

$$(\varphi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \sim \Theta(-x) \frac{|2\kappa x|^{\frac{i\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}}.$$
 (31)

The near horizon S-matrix  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  is then defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in}})^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \\ \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{Right}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(32)

Using the analytic properties of the *in* modes of Eqs. (28) and (29), we immediately obtain

$$S_{\rm NHR} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\kappa}} \Gamma\left(i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right) \left( \frac{e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}}{e^{-\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}}{e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}}} \right).$$
(33)

Unlike the other matrices in Eq. (21),  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  is *universal* in that it only depends on  $\kappa$  in Eq. (6). It is independent of the other properties of the profile v(x), and also of the mass m. In fact, when considering a two-dimensional massless field, which obeys Eq. (8) with m = 0, the left moving v-modes decouple,  $n_{\omega}^{v}$  in Eq. (20) vanishes, and the total *S*-matrix reduces to the above  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  (when the asymptotic flow velocity v is such that *out* modes are well defined). In that case, on both sides of the horizon, the flux of *u*-quanta is Planckian and at the standard Hawking temperature  $\kappa/2\pi$ , since  $n_{\omega}^{u} = n_{-\omega}^{u}$  and

$$\frac{n_{\omega}^{\rm u}}{n_{\omega}^{\rm u}+1} = \left|\frac{\beta_{\omega}^{\rm NHR}}{\alpha_{\omega}^{\rm NHR}}\right|^2 = e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa}.$$
 (34)

# D. Exterior and interior scatterings

As mentioned above,  $S_{\text{ext}}$  and  $S_{\text{far}}$  both depend on other properties of the profile v(x) than  $\kappa$ . However, their structure can be analyzed in general terms, and the meaning of their coefficients can be identified. Before computing these coefficients in specific flows, it is of value to present their general features.

Below  $\omega_R$  of Eq. (15), the positive norm mode is totally reflected while the negative norm mode propagates inside the horizon. The exterior scattering matrix  $S_{\text{ext}}$  is thus fully characterized by the phase accumulated by the positive norm mode in the right region

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{Right}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta_{\omega}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{Refl}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(35)

Since this mode is totally reflected, it is the unique ABM of Eq. (23) in R. For small  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ , using Eq. (30), its behavior will be

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) \underset{0^{+}}{\sim} C[|2\kappa x|^{\frac{i\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} + e^{i\delta_{\omega}} \times |2\kappa x|^{-\frac{i\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}], \qquad (36)$$

which will allow us in the next sections to extract the phase  $e^{i\delta_{\omega}}$ .

In the interior region, there is some extra mode mixing which is described by  $S_{\text{far}}$ . Because the norms of the two modes are of opposite sign, this mixing introduces new Bogoliubov coefficients:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{Left}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} \\ \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} & \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out}})^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
(37)

This scattering is entirely governed by Eq. (23) in the interior region L. When working in an appropriate basis, namely when positive and negative frequency modes are complex conjugated, the real character of Eq. (23) guarantees that the matrix  $S_{\text{far}}$  is an element of SU(1, 1).

We see that the regularity condition of Eq. (27) for the mode crossing the horizon plus the ABM requirement reduces the dimensionality of the four unknown coefficients of Eq. (26) to two. The *S*-matrix in Eq. (21) is then

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \\ \tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} & \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \\ \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} & \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta_{\omega}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \\ \tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(38)

This decomposition is depicted in Fig. 5. Notice that it is the transposed version of the intermediate *S*-matrices of Eqs. (32), (35), and (37) which appear in Eq. (38), as explained after Eq. (18).

For frequencies larger than  $\omega_R$ , the *u* mode and the *v* mode, both of positive norm, mix in the exterior region R. The matrix  $S_{\text{ext}}$  now is  $3 \times 3$  and reads



FIG. 5. In this figure, a schematic representation of the S-matrix decomposition in Eq. (38) is shown. The asymptotic *in* and *out* amplitudes of the positive frequency mode  $\phi_{\omega}^{in}$  are indicated.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{Right}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \\ \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in},v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\omega} & 0 & \tilde{R}_{\omega} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_{\omega} & 0 & \tilde{T}_{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\omega}^{\text{out,u}} \\ (\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{Left}})^* \\ \phi_{\omega}^{\text{NHR,v}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(39)

The 2 × 2 nontrivial sector of this matrix is an element of U(2), and it describes an *elastic* scattering. The interior scattering shares the same properties as occur for frequencies below  $\omega_R$ , namely the positive and negative norm modes propagating in the region L mix. The outgoing u mode is not affected by this scattering and is thus left unchanged by  $S_{\text{far}}$ . Therefore, the structure of the total S-matrix is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} \\ 0 & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} & \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T_{\omega} & 0 & R_{\omega} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \tilde{R}_{\omega} & 0 & \tilde{T}_{\omega} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & 0 \\ \tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(40)$$

#### **III. EXACTLY SOLVABLE MODELS**

We shall first compute the above S-matrices in three preparatory cases in order to understand various aspects regarding the scattering of massive modes on a stationary horizon. The results of these three cases will then be put together so as to obtain the S-matrix in a background flow relevant for analogue gravity models, and similar to that presented in Fig. 1.

In what follows, the various geometries will be characterized by a single function, given by the conformal factor of Eq. (5)

$$C(x) = 1 - v^2(x).$$
(41)

The reason to refer only to this function is double. First, as we see from Eq. (23), the mode equation for  $\varphi_{\omega}$  only depends on C(x). Second, it will allow us to consider

ranges of x where C(x) > 1. In such regions, the function v(x) of Eq. (5) is complex. However, neither the geometry nor the wave equation (23) is ill defined, as can be seen by making the change of time coordinate  $t_S = t + \int v dx/(1-v^2)$ , which gives

$$ds^{2} = (1 - v^{2})dt_{S}^{2} - \frac{dx^{2}}{1 - v^{2}}.$$
 (42)

This line element depends only on C(x) and can be extended to C > 1. In fact, the *v*-dependent prefactor in Eq. (22) accounts for the coordinate change  $t \rightarrow t_S$ . The status of the auxiliary mode  $\varphi_{\omega}$  is thus clear: it is the stationary mode when using the "Schwarzschild" time coordinate  $t_S$ , which is singular on the horizon. While the auxiliary mode  $\varphi_{\omega}$  obeys an equation which is simpler to solve, it is singular across the horizon, see Eq. (30). Hence, we shall use the original mode  $\phi_{\omega}$  to impose regularity conditions on the horizon, see Eq. (27).

### A. Rindler horizon

It is instructive to first study a Rindler (future) horizon in the above formalism. To do so, we use the profile defined by

$$C(x) = 2\kappa x. \tag{43}$$

It is straightforward to check that this metric has a vanishing scalar curvature  $R = -\partial_x^2 C/2$  and thus describes flat space. In Eq. (43),  $\kappa$  is the "surface gravity" as defined by Eq. (6). The fact that it depends on the arbitrary normalization of the Killing field  $K_t$  is free of physical consequence, because the S-matrix depends only on the ratio  $\omega/\kappa$ , see, e.g., Eq. (33).

In this geometry, Eq. (23) reads

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \frac{m^2}{2\kappa x} - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\omega^2}{4\kappa^2}\right)\frac{1}{x^2}\right]\varphi_{\omega}(x) = 0.$$
(44)

The interesting aspect of Rindler space is that we know the result in advance. Indeed, since there is no pair creation in flat space, the total Bogoliubov transformation of Eq. (21) must be trivial, i.e.,  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} = 0$ . However, from Eq. (44), we see that close to the horizon, the modes behave as in Eq. (26) and thus are subjected to the NHR mixing described in Sec. II C. Therefore, the extra scattering described by  $S_{\text{far}}$  and  $S_{\text{ext}}$  exactly compensates the NHR one, so that the total *S*-matrix is trivial. To show that this is the case, we solve Eq. (44) following the steps of Secs. II C and II D.

Equation (44) should thus be solved separately for x > 0and x < 0. On both sides, its solutions can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions. We start by studying the exterior R region. For x > 0, the only ABM is

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) = C \frac{2i}{\pi} K_{i\omega/\kappa} \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{m^2 x}{2\kappa}} \right), \tag{45}$$

where  $K_{\nu}(z)$  is the Mac-Donald function [19] and C a constant. At large values of x,

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) \sim 2iC \left(\frac{\kappa x}{8\pi^2 m^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{m^2 x}{2\kappa}}}.$$
(46)

This exponential decrease is expected since  $\omega_R$  of Eq. (15) is infinite. Near the horizon, for  $x \to 0^+$ , the ABM behaves as

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) \sim -Ce^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa})} \left( \frac{e^{i\delta_{\text{Rindler}}} \times |2\kappa x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}} + |2\kappa x|^{i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + i\omega/\kappa)\sinh(\frac{\omega\pi}{\kappa})} \right),$$
(47)

where

$$e^{i\delta_{\text{Rindler}}} = \frac{\Gamma(i\omega/\kappa)}{\Gamma(-i\omega/\kappa)} e^{-2i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa})}.$$
(48)

This is the phase shift that enters in Eq. (35). It will play a crucial role in what follows.

In the interior region L, the general solution reads

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) = A\sqrt{-x}J_{-i\omega/\kappa} \left(2\sqrt{-\frac{m^2x}{2\kappa}}\right) + B\sqrt{-x}J_{i\omega/\kappa} \left(2\sqrt{-\frac{m^2x}{2\kappa}}\right).$$
(49)

Near the horizon, for  $x \rightarrow 0^-$ , one finds

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) \sim A_{\omega} \frac{e^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{\omega}{2\kappa})}}{\sqrt{2\kappa}\Gamma(1-i\omega/\kappa)} |2\kappa x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}} + B_{\omega} \frac{e^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{\omega}{2\kappa})}}{\sqrt{2\kappa}\Gamma(1+i\omega/\kappa)} |2\kappa x|^{i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (50)

In order to build the normalized positive frequency left mode  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{Left}}$  appearing in Eq. (37), we choose

$$A_{\omega} = -i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\kappa}}\Gamma(-i\omega/\kappa)e^{i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa})},$$
 (51)

and  $B_{\omega} = 0$ , so as to get

$$\varphi_{\omega}^{\text{Left}}(x) \sim \frac{|2\kappa x|^{-i\frac{\omega}{2\kappa}+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}}.$$
 (52)

For  $x \to -\infty$ , the asymptotic behavior of this mode is [19,20]

$$\varphi_{\omega}^{\text{Left}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\kappa}} \Gamma(-i\omega/\kappa) e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}} e^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa}) - i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{-2m^2x}{\kappa}}}}{(\frac{8\pi^2m^2}{-\kappa x})^{\frac{1}{4}}} + e^{-\frac{\omega\pi}{\kappa}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \times \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\frac{-2m^2x}{\kappa}}}}{(\frac{8\pi^2m^2}{-\kappa x})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right\}.$$
(53)

In the parentheses, the first term is the asymptotic positive norm *out* mode, whereas the last factor of the second term gives the negative norm one. Therefore, the coefficients of Eq. (37) are

$$\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\kappa}} \Gamma(-i\omega/\kappa) e^{\frac{\omega\pi}{2\kappa}} e^{i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa}) - i\frac{\pi}{4}}, \qquad (54)$$

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far*}} = \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \times e^{-\frac{\omega\pi}{\kappa}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$
 (55)

Making a similar computation for the negative left mode  $(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{Left}})^*$ , we obtain  $\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far*}}$  and  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}}$  and verify that  $S_{\text{far}}$  is an element of SU(1, 1). From Eq. (55), we see that  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}}/\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}}|^2 = e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa}$ , which is exactly the ratio of the near horizon coefficients of Eq. (33). This is a necessary condition for having  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} = 0$ . However, it is not sufficient, as one also needs the phases to match each other, since

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} = \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} + \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} e^{i\delta_{\omega}}.$$
 (56)

From this equation, one clearly sees the crucial role played by  $e^{i\delta_{\omega}}$  of Eq. (48). An explicit calculation shows that the total *S*-matrix of Eq. (38) is

$$S = \frac{\Gamma(i\omega/\kappa)}{\Gamma(-i\omega/\kappa)} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\ln(\frac{m}{2\kappa})} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (57)

We see that the two *in/out* coefficients  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  vanish for all values of  $\omega$  and *m*. Hence, the scattering away from the horizon *exactly* compensates the near horizon mixing, and there is no pair creation. Of course, this exact cancellation was expected in the present case. However, in more general space-times, as we shall see below, a partial cancellation between  $S_{\text{far}}$  and  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  will be obtained for similar reasons.

## **B.** Totally reflecting model

Our second example generalizes the former Rindler case in that there is still a total reflection, but the profile v(x)now possesses an asymptotically flat interior region. As a result, the asymptotic flux of left-going particles is well defined, since the emitted quanta are asymptotically described by plane waves. The profile which generalizes Eq. (43) is

$$C(x) = D(-1 + e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}).$$
 (58)

The parameter *D* characterizes the transition from the near horizon region to the asymptotic one. In the limit  $D \rightarrow \infty$ , C(x) of Eq. (58) becomes that of Eq. (43), which describes Rindler space. In the above metric, Eq. (23) is analytically solvable in terms of hypergeometric functions [19,20]. The full expression of the general solution is given in Appendix B. To compute the total *S*-matrix, we follow exactly the same procedure as in Sec. III A.

The first important quantity is the phase shift of Eq. (35). To simplify its expression, we introduce the dimensionless quantities

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi} \doteq \frac{\omega}{2\kappa}, \qquad \bar{\Omega}_+ \doteq \frac{\sqrt{m^2 D + \omega^2}}{2\kappa}.$$
 (59)

The (exact) phase shift then reads

$$e^{i\delta_{\text{Refl}}} = \frac{\Gamma(2i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi+i\bar{\Omega}_{+})\Gamma(1-i\varpi-i\bar{\Omega}_{+})}{\Gamma(-2i\varpi)\Gamma(1+i\varpi-i\bar{\Omega}_{+})\Gamma(1+i\varpi+i\bar{\Omega}_{+})}e^{2i\varpi\ln(D)}.$$
(60)

In the interior L region, the scattering coefficients in  $S_{\text{far}}$  are

$$\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} = \left(\frac{\bar{\Omega}_{+}^{2}}{\varpi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(1-2i\varpi)\Gamma(-2i\bar{\Omega}_{+})}{\Gamma(-i\bar{\Omega}_{+}-i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi-i\bar{\Omega}_{+})} e^{i\varpi\ln(D)},$$
  
$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} = \alpha_{-\omega}^{\text{far}} \times e^{-2i\varpi\ln(D)}.$$
 (61)

The total beta coefficient is then given by

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} = \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} + \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} e^{i\delta_{\text{Refl}}}.$$
 (62)

Its full expression is rather complicated and not very transparent. It is more interesting to study its behavior in different regimes of the parameter space ( $\omega/\kappa$ ,  $m/\kappa$ , D).

## 1. Low-frequency regime

An interesting phenomenon happens in the deep infrared regime,  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ . In this regime, we find

$$e^{i\delta_{\text{Refl}}} \sim -1,$$
 (63)

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \sim \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{mD^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\omega}} \frac{\Gamma(-i\frac{mD^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\kappa})}{\Gamma(-i\frac{mD^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\kappa})\Gamma(1-i\frac{mD^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\kappa})}, \qquad (64)$$

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\rm NHR} \sim \alpha_{\omega}^{\rm NHR} \sim -i\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi\omega}}.$$
 (65)

These equations show that, while both  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}}$  and  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}}$  diverge as  $1/\omega^{1/2}$ , the total coefficient in Eq. (62) does not diverge as  $1/\omega$ , as one might have expected. Using the analytic character of the  $\Gamma$  functions in Eqs. (60) and (61), one finds that the leading term is constant; that is,

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \underset{\omega \to 0}{\sim} f(\kappa/mD^{1/2}), \tag{66}$$

which is finite for all m > 0. This completely differs from the massless case where  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  diverges  $\sim \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \sim 1/\sqrt{\omega}$ ; see the discussion after Eq. (33). Moreover, when we take the massless limit of Eq. (62) at fixed  $\omega$ , we obtain the massless result,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{\text{Tot}} \underset{m \to 0}{\sim} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{\text{NHR}},\tag{67}$$

for all  $\omega$ , and thus, in particular, we recover the diverging behavior for  $\omega \to 0^+$ . Before addressing the apparent contradiction between Eqs. (66) and (67), it is of value to take a pause and to discuss the lesson from Eq. (67). This equation shows that when a massless conformally coupled field is scattered on a Killing horizon of a stationary metric which is asymptotically singular in the external region (since the curvature  $R = -\partial_x^2 C/2 \to \infty$  for  $x \to \infty$ ; see Fig. 6), the particle



FIG. 6. Shown in this figure are the Penrose-Carter diagrams of the geometries we shall use. On the left, the totally reflecting model of Eq. (58) which is singular in the exterior region; in the middle, the CGHS model of Eq. (72) with its interior singularity; and on the right, the analog model of Eq. (82) which is everywhere regular and can be obtained by pasting the L quadrant of the first model with the R quadrant of the second. These diagrams do not represent the full analytic extension of each space-time, but only the quadrants which are relevant for our *S*-matrix, namely, the L and R regions on either side of the (future) horizon  $\mathcal{H}$ . Precise definitions of the various types of infinities along with more details about the last diagram are given in Ref. [34].

flux is nevertheless well defined in the *interior* region because it is asymptotically flat, so that the *out* modes of *negative* Killing frequency are unambiguously defined. In this case, using Eq. (34), one gets a Planck spectrum emitted toward asymptotic left infinity. This is rather unusual since the Killing frequency is negative, and has in that L region the physical meaning of a momentum since the Killing field is space-like.

The compatibility between Eq. (66) and Eq. (67) is understood when realizing that the saturated value  $\beta_0^{\text{Tot}}$ of Eq. (66) diverges when  $m \to 0$ . To see this more precisely, we focus on the regime of small mass  $m \ll \kappa$  and small frequencies  $\omega \ll \kappa$ , for arbitrary ratios  $\omega/m$ . In this regime, we get

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \sim -i \left( \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi^2 (\omega^2 + m^2 D)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$
 (68)

This expression reveals that there is a change of regime near

$$\omega_L = mD^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (69)

When  $\kappa \gg \omega \gg \omega_L$ ,  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  is growing as in the massless case, whereas for  $\omega \ll \omega_L$ , it saturates at a high but finite value, as can be seen in Fig. 7. As in the case of ultraviolet dispersion [3,17], we observe that the effective frequency which governs the spectrum depends, as expected, on the dispersive frequency—here, the mass *m* and, there, the ultraviolet scale  $\Lambda$ —but also depends in a nontrivial manner on the parameter *D* which governs the extension of the NHR. In the present case, the power of *D* is 1/2, whereas for ultraviolet dispersion, the power is (n + 1)/n when the dispersion relation which replaces Eq. (9) is  $\Omega^2 = p^2 + p^{n+2}/\Lambda^n$ .

## 2. Large mass regime

When the mass is large, i.e.,  $m \gg \kappa$ ,  $\omega$ , we find that the coefficients of  $S_{\text{NHR}}$  and  $S_{\text{far}}$  go to their Rindler values in a well-controlled manner, e.g.,

$$e^{i\delta_{\text{Refl}}} \underset{m \to \infty}{\sim} e^{i\delta_{\text{Rindler}}} (1 + O(\kappa/mD^{\frac{1}{2}})).$$
 (70)

This implies that  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \to 0$  for  $m \to \infty$  as

$$\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} = O(\kappa/mD^{\frac{1}{2}}) = O(\kappa/\omega_L).$$
(71)

This can be understood by considering the Bessel functions of Sec. III A. Their behavior reveals that the scattering



FIG. 7. In this figure,  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  is plotted as a function of  $\omega$  in the regime of low mass and frequencies, i.e.,  $\omega, m \ll \kappa$ . For frequencies above the threshold of Eq. (69),  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  behaves as for a massless field and grows as  $\kappa/\omega$ . For frequencies  $\omega < \omega_L$ ,  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  saturates at  $\sim \kappa/\omega_L \sim \kappa/mD^{1/2}$ . In the opposite regime, where the mass is larger than  $\kappa/2\pi$ , the suppression arises at a frequency larger than the temperature, and  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  remains smaller than 1.

#### COUTANT et al.

away from the horizon in region L, which compensates the NHR mixing, occurs on a distance from the horizon  $\sim \kappa/m^2$ . Hence, for large masses, the entire scattering occurs in a close vicinity of the horizon. Therefore, in the large mass limit, the scattering in the present geometry is indistinguishable from that occurring in Rindler space. Using WKB techniques [3], which furnish reliable approximations in the large mass limit, one can demonstrate that the residual scattering outside the NHR is negligible. This means that for  $m \gg \kappa$ ,  $\omega$ , irrespectively of the properties of the (smooth) profile v(x), the net *in/out* Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  is suppressed by the mass. This behavior radically differs from that of the massless case given in Eq. (67), even though both cases share the same  $S_{\text{NHR}}$ .

## C. CGHS model

We now study another exactly soluble example, which is given by the Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger (CGHS) black hole [21], except for the definition of the surface gravity  $\kappa$  which is here given by Eq. (6). In Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates, the conformal factor reads

$$C(x) = D(1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}).$$
 (72)

Even though this geometry is very different from that of Eq. (58), as it is singular in the interior region (see Fig. 6), at the level of the mode equation it gives something very close since the discrete interchange  $C \rightarrow -C$  and  $x \rightarrow -x$  maps one problem into the other. For this reason, the solutions of Eq. (23) will also be hypergeometric functions, see Appendix B. As in Sec. III B,  $\kappa$  is the surface gravity, and *D* characterizes the transition from the NHR to the asymptotic region. However, here, *D* also controls the value of the threshold frequency  $\omega_R$  in Eq. (15) since

$$\omega_R = mD^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{73}$$

When  $\omega < \omega_R$ , the positive norm mode is totally reflected, and the accumulated phase shift characterizes  $S_{\text{ext}}$ . As in the preceding section, to obtain simpler expressions, we introduce

$$\bar{\Omega}_{<} = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_R^2 - \omega^2}}{2\kappa},\tag{74}$$

$$\bar{\Omega}_{>} = \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_R^2}}{2\kappa},\tag{75}$$

which are modified versions of Eq. (59). The exterior phase shift is then

$$e^{i\delta_{\rm CGHS}} = \frac{\Gamma(2i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi+\bar{\Omega}_{<})\Gamma(-i\varpi+\bar{\Omega}_{<})}{\Gamma(-2i\varpi)\Gamma(1+i\varpi+\bar{\Omega}_{<})\Gamma(i\varpi+\bar{\Omega}_{<})}e^{2i\varpi\ln(D)}.$$
(76)

From this, conclusions similar to that of Sec. III B can be drawn. For instance, when  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , we recover

$$e^{i\delta_{\text{CGHS}}} \simeq 0^{-1},$$
 (77)

which is the main ingredient needed to obtain a canceling effect as in Eq. (66) and to have  $\beta_{\omega\to 0}^{\text{Tot}}$  be regular in the limit  $\omega \to 0$ . If the mass is large, then the behavior is essentially that found for Rindler space-time in Eq. (70), as can be seen by an explicit calculation.

When  $\omega > \omega_R$ , we are in the configuration where there exists three ABM, as in Eq. (40). The grey body factors in the external region R are analytically obtained from the hypergeometric functions. The transmission and reflection coefficients are

$$T_{\omega} = \bar{T}_{\omega}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\varpi^{2}}{\bar{\Omega}_{>}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(-i\bar{\Omega}_{>} - i\varpi)\Gamma(1 - i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}_{>})}{\Gamma(1 - 2i\varpi)\Gamma(-2i\bar{\Omega}_{>})} e^{-i\varpi\ln(D)}.$$
(78)

$$\tilde{R}_{\omega} = -\frac{\Gamma(1+2i\varpi)\Gamma(-i\Omega_{>}-i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi-i\Omega_{>})}{\Gamma(1-2i\varpi)\Gamma(-i\bar{\Omega}_{>}+i\varpi)\Gamma(1+i\varpi-i\bar{\Omega}_{>})} \times e^{-2i\varpi\ln(D)},$$
(79)

$$R_{\omega} = \frac{\Gamma(2i\bar{\Omega}_{>})\Gamma(-i\bar{\Omega}_{>}-i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi-i\bar{\Omega}_{>})}{\Gamma(-2i\bar{\Omega}_{>})\Gamma(i\bar{\Omega}_{>}-i\varpi)\Gamma(1-i\varpi+i\bar{\Omega}_{>})}.$$
 (80)

Using Eq. (40), the asymptotic outgoing flux of Eq. (20) is

$$n_{\omega}^{\mathrm{u}} = \langle 0, \operatorname{in} | (a_{\omega}^{\operatorname{out},\mathrm{u}})^{\dagger} a_{\omega}^{\operatorname{out},\mathrm{u}} | 0, \operatorname{in} \rangle = | \beta_{\omega}^{\operatorname{NHR}} T_{\omega} |^{2} \qquad (81)$$

At  $\omega = \omega_R$ ,  $T_{\omega}$  vanishes, and below  $\omega_R$ , it is trivially 0. In the next section, the transition shall be analyzed in more detail.

### **D.** Analog model

We now consider a profile which combines the regular interior region of Sec. III B with the regular exterior region of the above CGHS model so as to get a flow similar to that of Fig. 1. The resulting geometry is relevant for analog models where the velocity profile is everywhere bounded. We thus consider

$$C(x) = 1 - v^{2}(x) = \begin{cases} D_{L}(-1 + e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D_{L}}}) & \text{for } (x < 0), \\ D_{R}(1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D_{R}}}) & \text{for } (x > 0). \end{cases}$$
(82)

This profile is  $C^1$ , i.e., it is continuous, and its first derivative is continuous [22]. This ensures that the global geometry obtained is *regular*, in the sense that the curvature does not contain a distributional contribution. Since the scattering matrices  $S_{\text{ext}}$  and  $S_{\text{far}}$  have been already studied both in the exterior and interior regions, all we need to do here is to combine them to get the total *S*-matrix

$$S = \underbrace{S_{\text{far}}}_{\text{Sec. III B}} \cdot \underbrace{S_{\text{ext}}}_{\text{Sec. III B}} \cdot \underbrace{S_{\text{NHR}}}_{\text{Sec. II C}}.$$
(83)

The two threshold frequencies of Eqs. (73) and (69) are now

$$\omega_R = mD_R^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \omega_L = mD_L^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{84}$$

Having different values for  $D_R$  and  $D_L$  will allow us to distinguish their roles.

We first consider the totally reflecting regime,  $\omega < \omega_R$ . Interestingly, we recover the transition seen in Sec. III B and in Fig. 7. Indeed, for  $\omega \ll \omega_R$ ,

$$e^{i\delta_{\rm CGHS}} \sim -1.$$
 (85)

Together with the coefficients of  $S_{\text{far}}$ , this ensures that  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  has a finite value in the limit  $\omega \to 0$ . More precisely, in the high  $\kappa$  regime, for  $m, \omega \ll \kappa$ , we have

$$|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \sim \frac{\kappa (D_L + D_R)}{2\pi D_R \sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega_L^2}}.$$
(86)

To observe a divergent regime  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \propto \kappa/\omega$ , one needs to assume that  $\omega_L \ll \omega < \omega_R$ , where the last inequality is required in order to be below the threshold  $\omega_R$ . This implies  $D_L \ll D_R$ ; hence,

$$|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \sim \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega_L^2}}.$$
(87)

This expression shows the transition between the diverging regime at the standard temperature, which is independent of  $D_R$  and  $D_L$ , and a saturating regime governed by  $\omega_L$ . For large masses  $m \gg \kappa$ ,  $\omega$ , the results are the same as in Secs. III B and III C, namely the various scattering coefficients asymptote to their Rindler values. In rather different settings where the sound speed *c* varies with *x* and the velocity *v* is a constant, a combination of analytical results and numerical simulations [12] shows that all of these results are recovered. This demonstrates that the low-frequency behavior of Eq. (85) applies to a much wider class of situations than the one considered here.

We now have all the ingredients necessary to study the effects of a massive field on the outgoing fluxes when starting from vacuum. On the right side, the outgoing particle flux is that of Sec. III C: as expected, it vanishes below  $\omega_R$ , and above it is given by

$$n_{\omega}^{\rm u} = |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm NHR} T_{\omega}|^2. \tag{88}$$

To observe the transition, we work in the high  $\kappa$  regime, i.e.,  $\omega, m \ll \kappa$ , and obtain

$$n_{\omega}^{\rm u} \simeq \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \Theta(\omega - \omega_R) \frac{4\sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_R^2}}{\left(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_R^2} + \omega\right)^2}.$$
 (89)

The flux is thus continuous when crossing  $\omega_R$ .

On the left side, the particle fluxes are more complicated since two contributions are present, see Eq. (20);  $n_{\omega}^{v}$  is

composed of positive frequency particles, and  $n_{-\omega}^{u} = n_{\omega}^{u} + n_{\omega}^{v}$  is composed of the negative frequency partners. We first notice that both of these are well defined since the profile of Eq. (82) is asymptotically flat in L. Using Eq. (40), in full generality,  $n_{\omega}^{v}$  reads

$$n_{\omega}^{v} = \Theta(\omega - \omega_{R}) |\tilde{R}_{\omega} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} + \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}}|^{2} + \Theta(\omega_{R} - \omega) |e^{i\delta_{\text{CGHS}}} \alpha_{\omega}^{\text{far}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} + \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{NHR}} \beta_{\omega}^{\text{far}}|^{2}.$$
(90)

The first term in the first line, which is proportional to  $\tilde{R}_{\omega}$ , describes the stimulated production in the L region due to the reflected Hawking quanta. The other terms describe the interference between the mixing in the NHR region and the scattering in the L region away from the horizon. Just as for  $e^{i\delta_{\text{CGHS}}}$  below the threshold, the phase of  $\tilde{R}_{\omega}$  is crucial since there is interference between these two terms. Particularly interesting is behavior of  $n_{\omega}^{\text{v}}$  near the threshold frequency  $\omega_R$ . In the regime of large surface gravity,  $\kappa \gg \omega, m$ , for  $\omega > \omega_R > \omega_L$ , one finds

$$n_{\omega}^{v} \simeq \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^{2} + \omega_{L}^{2}}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\omega^{2} + \omega_{L}^{2}} - \sqrt{\omega^{2} - \omega_{R}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\omega^{2} - \omega_{R}^{2}} + \omega} \right)^{2}, \quad (91)$$

whereas for  $\omega < \omega_R$ 

$$n_{\omega}^{\rm v} \simeq \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \frac{(1+D_L/D_R)}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \omega_L^2}}.$$
(92)

These two equations describe the effects on the spectrum in the L region which are due to a small mass. We first notice that  $n_{\omega}^{v}$  is continuous across  $\omega_{R}$ , but with a cusp; see Fig. 8.



FIG. 8. Particle flux of positive frequency quanta spontaneously emitted from the horizon toward  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  in the high  $\kappa$ regime. Above  $\omega_R$ , one finds a small contribution which is due to the reflection (the backscattering) of Hawking quanta emitted towards  $x = \infty$ . Below the threshold, the entire thermal flux is reflected and grows like  $\kappa/\omega$  for decreasing values of  $\omega$ , until one reaches  $\omega_L$  where it saturates, as explained in Sec. III B.

From Eq. (89), we see that this is also true for  $n_{\omega}^{u}$  and hence for  $n_{-\omega}^{u}$  as well.

We also see that the spectrum depends on the mass m only through the two critical frequencies of Eq. (84). It is thus through them that the profile properties, namely  $D_R$  and  $D_L$  which govern the extension of the NHR on the right and on the left, see Fig. 1, affect the spectrum. The lesson here is that when dealing with a conformally invariant massless field, the surface gravity is the only background quantity which affects the spectrum. When breaking conformal invariance, by a mass, or a nonconformal coupling as in 3 + 1 dimensions, or by adding some ultraviolet dispersion, other properties of the background flow affect the spectrum. From the above analysis, and that of Refs. [3,17,23,24], the most important ones are the extensions of the near horizon region, on both sides.

### **IV. MASSIVE MODES AND UNDULATIONS**

In Ref. [2], it was noticed that, in a Bose condensate, the density-density correlation function computed in a stationary white hole flow displays an infrared divergence with a specific short distance pattern. As explained in the introduction, this divergence originates from the combination of three properties: first, the divergence of the Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta_{\omega} \sim 1/\omega^{1/2}$  for  $\omega \to 0$ ; second, the existence of the zero-frequency root  $p_{\omega=0}^{\Lambda} \neq 0$  of Eq. (2); and third, the group velocity oriented away from the sonic horizon. As a result, the zero-frequency phonons emitted by the white hole give a large contribution to the two-point function which has a short wavelength pattern. Moreover, this contribution factorizes [3] in the product of twice the same real wave, as in a presence of a coherent state of phonon modes [25].

In brief, the analysis of the analog Hawking radiation in dispersive media (with either superluminal or subluminal dispersion [3]) *predicts* that white hole flows should emit a zero-frequency wave which has a large amplitude and which behaves classically. Interestingly, this type of wave called undulation is well known in hydrodynamics [4,6]. Moreover, undulations have been observed in recent experiments aiming to detect the analogue Hawking radiation in water tanks [7,8]. However, their intimate relationship to Hawking radiation has not been previously pointed out. Given the fact that the low-frequency Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta_{\omega}$  contributes to the undulation amplitude, we think that studying and observing these waves should be conceived as part of the enterprise to observe the analogue Hawking radiation. As a last remark, we wish to stress that the linearized treatment predicts that, when starting from a vacuum or a thermal state, the undulation amplitude is described by a Gaussian stochastic ensemble with a vanishing mean amplitude, exactly as primordial density fluctuations in the inflationary scenario [26]. Indeed, the mode mixing at the horizon amplifies vacuum or thermal fluctuations, and thus generates a random noise. But when the initial state is classical, because it contains a large wave packet described by a coherent state, the outcome of the amplification is also deterministic.

Adding a mass, or a perpendicular momentum, to a twodimensional massless relativistic dispersion relation also engenders a nontrivial zero-frequency root of Eq. (9) in the supersonic L region, thereby opening the possibility of having a "massive undulation." This possibility has been the main motivation of this paper. In the following, we first present the necessary and sufficient conditions to find an undulation, starting from the two-point function, as it clearly reveals a subtle aspect, namely the cumulative role of low frequencies in determining the amplitude of the zero-frequency wave. Then, we study the role of a nonzero mass, both in black and white hole flows.

### A. General properties

We consider the two-point correlation function evaluated in the *in*-vacuum

$$G(t, t'; x, x') = \langle 0, in | \hat{\phi}(t, x) \hat{\phi}(t', x') | 0, in \rangle.$$
(93)

Using Eq. (16), we find for equal times that

$$G(t, t; x, x') = \int_0^\infty G_\omega(x, x') d\omega.$$
(94)

In the infrared sector, for frequencies below  $\omega_R$  in Eq. (15), using Eq. (18), the relevant term in the integrand is

$$G_{\omega}(x, x') = \phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}(x)(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}(x'))^* + \phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in}}(x)(\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in}}(x'))^*.$$
(95)

In general,  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}$  and  $\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{in}}$  are two different functions of *x*, and  $G_{\omega}$  is complex and cannot be factorized. To see that under some conditions it factorizes, we use Eq. (38) to work with the *out* modes. Then, the first term in Eq. (95) becomes

$$\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}(x)(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{in}}(x'))^{*} = |\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2}\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}(x)(\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}(x'))^{*} + |\tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2}(\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out}}(x))^{*}\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out}}(x') + 2\operatorname{Re}\{\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}\tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot*}}\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}(x)\phi_{-\omega}^{\text{out}}(x')\}.$$
(96)

In the limit  $\omega \to 0$ , two effects are combined. First,  $\phi_{\omega}^{out}$  and  $\phi_{-\omega}^{out}$  become the same function of x,  $\phi_0^{out}(x)$ . This is true in general, but not in the particular case of the twodimensional massless field in a black hole metric because in that case,  $\phi_{\omega}^{out}$  and  $\phi_{-\omega}^{out}$  vanish on the L and R quadrant respectively (see Ref. [3]).

Second, when assuming that  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \gg 1$  for  $\omega \to 0$ , since *S* of Eq. (38) is an element of U(1, 1), one has

$$|\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2} \sim |\tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2} \sim |\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2} \sim |\tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2},$$

$$\alpha_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}\tilde{\beta}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot*}} \sim \tilde{\alpha}_{\omega}^{\text{Tot*}}\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}} \sim e^{2i\theta}|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^{2},$$
(97)

where  $e^{2i\theta}$  is a phase. These two facts guarantee that  $G_{\omega}$  becomes real and factorizes as

$$G_{\omega}(x, x') \sim 8|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \times \Phi_{\text{U}}(x)\Phi_{\text{U}}(x'), \qquad (98)$$

where the real wave

$$\Phi_{\rm U}(x) \doteq \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\theta}\phi_0^{\rm out}(x)\}\tag{99}$$

gives the profile of the undulation. It should first be noticed that its phase is locked. Indeed, if one modifies the arbitrary phase of the *out* mode  $\phi_0^{\text{out}}$ , the modified phase  $\theta$ would exactly compensate this change so that  $\Phi_{\rm U}$  would remain unchanged. This can be understood from the fact that  $\Phi_{\rm II}$  oscillates on one side of the horizon and decays on the other. In fact, it behaves like an Airy function, even though the phase  $e^{i\theta}$  is different; see Ref. [3] for details. One should point out that this factorization means that the undulation contributes to observables in the same way that a coherent state does, see Appendix C in Ref. [25]. It thus behaves as a classical wave in that its profile and its phase are not random. However, in the present linearized treatment, its amplitude is still a random variable, i.e., its mean value is identically zero, and  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  gives the ( $\omega$ -contribution of its) standard deviation. This should be conceived as an important *prediction* of the linearized treatment and could be validated for a BEC using numerical techniques similar to those of Ref. [2], and perhaps also in future experiments.

So far, we have worked at fixed  $\omega$ . We now consider the integral over low frequencies in Eq. (94). We recall that the divergence of  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  for  $\omega \to 0$  accounts for a growth in time of the root-mean-square (rms) amplitude of the undulation [2,3]. When considering an observable evaluated at a finite time t after the formation of the horizon, the stationary settings of Eq. (94) with a dense set of frequencies should be used with care. Indeed, after such a lapse, one cannot resolve frequencies separated by less than  $2\pi/t$ , as in the Golden Rule. This effectively introduces an infrared cutoff in the integral over  $\omega$ . Taking this into account gives the growing rate which depends on the power of the divergence of  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$ . For example, when the Bogoliubov coefficients take their massless values in Eq. (33), in the vacuum, the infrared contribution of G grows as

$$G_{\rm IR}(t;x,x') \sim 8 \int_{2\pi/t} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \times \Phi_{\rm U}(x) \Phi_{\rm U}(x'),$$
$$\sim \frac{4\kappa}{\pi} \ln(t/2\pi) \times \Phi_{\rm U}(x) \Phi_{\rm U}(x'). \tag{100}$$

Of course, in a medium, this growth would saturate because of the nonlinearities, as was observed in a Bose condensate [2]. In the experiments of Refs. [7,8], only a constant (saturated) amplitude was observed. It would be very interesting to conceive experiments to observe the growth of the undulation amplitude. It would be also important to understand if the randomness of the amplitude found in the linearized treatment (in vacuum and in thermal states) is replaced by a deterministic nonlinear behavior when nonlinearities are included, or if some residual randomness persists. In conclusion, the linearized treatment of perturbations predicts that all (sufficiently regular [23]) white hole flows in dispersive media should emit an undulation with a significant amplitude.

#### B. Massive hydrodynamical undulations in black holes

We now study the undulation in the analog black hole metric of Eq. (82). In preceding sections, we saw that the low-frequency massive modes end up in the inside L region for both signs of  $\omega$ ; see Fig. 4. Moreover, in the limit  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , their momentum [solution of Eq. (9); see also Fig. 3] is finite and given by

$$p_U^m = p_{\omega \to 0} = m D_L^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_U}\right) \right).$$
(101)

This means that the zero-frequency mode  $\phi_0^{\text{out}}(x)$  is a nontrivial function of *x*, opening the possibility of finding a behavior similar to that of Eq. (100). When  $\omega_L \ll \kappa$ , an explicit calculation of Re{ $e^{i\theta}\phi_0^{\text{out}}(x)$ }, similar to that made in Ref. [3], tells us that the asymptotic profile of  $\Phi_U^m$  is

$$\Phi_{\rm U}^m(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega_L}}\cos(p_U^m x). \tag{102}$$

The next important aspect concerns the calculation of the net contribution of low-frequency modes to *G*. In this respect, two aspects should be discussed. The first one concerns the fact that  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2$  no longer diverges for  $\omega \to 0$ . However, the criterion for the factorization of *G* is only that  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \gg 1$ . When  $\omega_L \ll \kappa$ , as shown in Eq. (87), this is the case for frequencies  $\omega \ll \kappa/2\pi$ . The second aspect concerns the frequency interval  $0 \le \omega < \omega_{\text{U}}$  such that the momenta  $p_{\omega}$  are close enough to undulation momentum  $p_U$  so that the *out* modes  $\phi_{\omega}^{\text{out}}$  contribute coherently to  $\phi_0^{\text{out}}$ . Using Eq. (9) in the asymptotic interior region, we get

$$\omega_{\rm U} \simeq \frac{\omega_L}{\sqrt{1+D_L}} \ll \kappa. \tag{103}$$

Therefore, at time t after the formation of the horizon, the contribution of the low-frequency modes to the two-point function is

$$G_{\rm IR}(t;x,x') = 8 \int_{2\pi/t}^{\omega_{\rm U}} |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm Tot}|^2 d\omega \times \Phi_{\rm U}^m(x) \Phi_{\rm U}^m(x').$$
(104)

When assuming  $\omega_U \ll \kappa$ , which is the case for a smallenough mass, using Eq. (87), we get

$$\int_{2\pi/t}^{\omega_{\rm U}} |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm Tot}|^2 d\omega = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \bigg[ \sinh^{-1} \bigg( \frac{\omega_{\rm U}}{\omega_L} \bigg) - \sinh^{-1} \bigg( \frac{2\pi}{\omega_L t} \bigg) \bigg].$$
(105)

Hence, for short times, the amplitude grows as  $\ln(t)$ , as in the massless case. However, when  $t > 2\pi/\omega_L$ , the amplitude saturates and stays constant afterwards. This is an

important prediction of this paper. It shows how the transition in  $\omega$  near  $\omega_L$  with respect to the massless spectrum (see Fig. 7) produces here a change in time of the growth rate.

To conclude this section, we wish to provide a qualitative evaluation of the importance of this infrared contribution to G. To do so, we need to consider some observables, such as the stress-energy tensor. In particular, its trace accounts for the mass density of the field,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{T}\right) = \langle \hat{T}^{\mu}{}_{\mu} \rangle = m^2 \langle \phi^2(x) \rangle.$$
(106)

At late times, i.e.,  $t \gg 2\pi/\omega_L$ , the contribution of the undulation to the trace is

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{T}_{\mathrm{IR}}) = \frac{\kappa m}{\pi^2 D_L^{\frac{1}{2}}} \operatorname{sinh}^{-1} \left( \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{U}}}{\omega_L} \right) \times \cos^2(p_U^m x).$$
(107)

Since we work with  $\kappa \gg \omega_L = mD_L^{1/2}$ , this contribution to the trace is much smaller than  $\kappa^2$ , the typical energy density contained in the Hawking flux for a light field. Hence, we expect that the undulation will not be easily visible in this case. Moreover, when  $D_L \rightarrow \infty$ , which is the Rindler limit, the amplitude goes to 0 as  $1/D_L$ , confirming the stability of Minkowski space. It is also interesting to notice that the parameter  $D_R$  plays no role [as long as  $\omega \ll \omega_R$ , so that Eq. (87) stands], confirming that the undulation is controlled by the interior geometry.

#### C. Massive dispersive undulations in white holes

For white holes, undulations can be found when the dispersion relation is nonrelativistic in the ultraviolet sector, as discussed in the introduction. For simplicity, we consider here the superluminal relation  $\Omega^2 = m^2 + p^2 + p^4/\Lambda^2$  which is obtained from Eq. (3) in the limit  $\xi p_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ . Notice, however, that both superluminal and subluminal dispersion relations give rise to undulations in white hole flows, in virtue of the symmetry which relates them when interchanging at the same time the R and L regions; see Sec. III.E in Ref. [3].

For superluminal quartic dispersion, the outgoing momentum at zero frequency is found in the supersonic region and is given by

$$p_U^{\Lambda} = p_{\omega \to 0} = \Lambda D_L^{1/2} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{U}}^{\Lambda}}\right) \right), \tag{108}$$

and the asymptotic behavior of the undulation is [3]

$$\Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x) = \frac{\cos(p_U^{\Lambda}x + \theta_U)}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega_L}}.$$
(109)

The phase  $\theta_U$  cannot be obtained from the preceding equations, because it is mainly determined by the dispersive properties of the modes. Using the results of Ref. [3], one can establish that, when m = 0,  $\theta_U = (\Lambda D_L^{3/2})/(6\kappa) + \pi/4$ ; see also Ref. [27].

In the presence of ultraviolet dispersion, the width of frequencies which contribute coherently to G is

$$\omega_{\rm U}^{\Lambda} = \Lambda D_L^{\frac{3}{2}}.\tag{110}$$

Since  $\Lambda$  can be much larger than  $\kappa$ ,  $\omega_U^{\Lambda}$  can be either smaller or larger than the Hawking temperature  $\kappa/2\pi$ . In what follows, we work with  $\omega_U^{\Lambda} \gg \kappa$  where the Bogoliubov coefficients are well approximated [3] by their relativistic values computed in preceding sections. Therefore, the contribution of the low-frequency dispersive modes is given by

$$G_{\rm IR}(t;x,x') = 8 \int_{2\pi/t}^{\omega_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}} |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm Tot}|^2 d\omega \times \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x) \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x'), \quad (111)$$

which is Eq. (104) with  $\Phi_{U}^{m}$  and  $\omega_{U}$  replaced by  $\Phi_{U}^{\Lambda}$  and  $\omega_{U}^{\Lambda}$ . The exact expression of  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  in Eq. (62) is quite complicated. To get an undulation, we assume  $\omega_{L} \ll \kappa \ll \omega_{U}^{\Lambda}$ . In that regime,  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  is large for  $\omega < T_{H}$ , but for  $\omega > T_{H}$ , it becomes exponentially small. Thus, one has

$$\int_{2\pi/t}^{\omega_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}} |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm Tot}|^2 d\omega \simeq \int_{2\pi/t}^{\kappa/2\pi} |\beta_{\omega}^{\rm Tot}|^2 d\omega.$$
(112)

In that range of frequencies,  $\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}$  is well approximated by Eq. (87); therefore,

$$G_{\rm IR}(t;x,x') = \frac{4\kappa}{\pi} \bigg[ \sinh^{-1} \bigg( \frac{\kappa}{2\pi\omega_L} \bigg) \\ -\sinh^{-1} \bigg( \frac{2\pi}{\omega_L t} \bigg) \bigg] \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x) \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x').$$
(113)

Hence, at late times and for  $\omega_{\rm U}^{\Lambda} \gg \kappa$ , we obtain

$$G_{\rm IR}(t;x,x') = \frac{4\kappa}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\kappa}{\pi\omega_L}\right) \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x) \Phi_{\rm U}^{\Lambda}(x').$$
(114)

When considering a BEC, the relationship between the scalar field  $\phi$  and the density fluctuation  $\delta \rho$  is  $\delta \rho \propto \partial_x \phi$  [28]. Hence, the mean value of the equal-time density-density two-point function is

$$\langle \partial_x \phi(x) \partial_{x'} \phi(x') \rangle = \frac{\kappa p_U^{\Lambda}}{\pi^2 D_L} \ln \left( \frac{\kappa}{\pi \omega_L} \right) \times \sin(p_U^{\Lambda} x + \theta_U) \\ \times \sin(p_U^{\Lambda} x' + \theta_U).$$
(115)

This generalizes what was found in Ref. [2] in that, in the supersonic region, one still finds a short-distance checker-board pattern in the x, x' plane, and the undulation amplitude still grows initially as  $\ln(t)$ . However, when there is a mass term, it grows only for a finite amount of time  $\sim 2\pi/\omega_L$ , after which it saturates. The mass therefore provides a saturation mechanism which can occur before nonlinearities take place. Moreover, because  $p_U^{\Lambda} \propto$  $\Lambda \gg \kappa$ , the rms amplitude of the undulation is large.

So far, we have considered only the case where the initial state is a vacuum. When dealing with a thermal state, as discussed in Ref. [2], the initial growth rate is no

longer logarithmic but linear in time. However, the mass term acts again as an infrared regulator because the initial distribution of phonons is expressed in terms of  $\Omega > m$ , and not in terms of the constant frequency  $\omega$  [25]. Hence, no divergence is found when integrating over  $\omega$  when computing the two-point function. In addition, the random character of the undulation amplitude is fully preserved when taking into account some initial thermal noise. What is modified is the undulation rms amplitude. When the initial temperature  $T_{\rm in}$  is much larger than  $T_H = \kappa/2\pi$ , the above two-point function is, roughly speaking, multiplied by  $T_{\rm in}/T_H$ .

### V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the consequences of a mass term in the mode equation on (the analogue of) Hawking radiation. We showed that the scattering of massive modes on a stationary black hole horizon is rather complicated. It contains several mode mixing terms which occur on various length scales and which interfere with each other in a nontrivial way. In what follows, we summarize our main results.

Notwithstanding the fact that the mass does not affect the near horizon mode mixing, as can be seen in Eq. (33), the mass does regularize the infrared behavior of the net in/ out Bogoliubov transformation in Eq. (83). The reason for this is the extra mode mixing, described by  $S_{\text{far}}$  of Eq. (37), which occurs in the supersonic inside region, and which interferes with the near horizon scattering so as to cancel out the divergence in  $1/\omega$  of the  $|\beta_{\omega}|^2$  coefficient. Indeed, the squared norm of the total Bogoliubov coefficient saturates as  $|\beta_{\omega}^{\text{Tot}}|^2 \sim \kappa/2\pi\omega_L$  for  $\omega \to 0$ , where  $\omega_L$  is the threshold frequency of Eq. (84). As a consequence, the undulation rms amplitudes now saturate after a lapse of time  $\sim 2\pi/\omega_L$  and then stay constant. This has to be contrasted with the massless case where the saturation of the amplitude can only occur because of nonlinearities, or dissipation, in the system.

The presence of a mass induces a new type of undulation in the supersonic region which exists in black hole flows. Unlike the undulations occurring in white hole flows which are due to some ultraviolet dispersion, this new type occurs in the hydrodynamical regime if the mass term is small enough. It will thus appear both in superluminal and subluminal media. However, as shown by Eq. (107), the typical energy density carried by an undulation is small, and thus this new type should be difficult to detect.

Although the S-matrix coefficients governing black hole and white hole flows are the same, in virtue of the transformation  $v \rightarrow -v$  which maps one case onto the other, the frequency ranges which contribute to the massive and the dispersive undulations are very different as can be seen by comparing Eq. (103) with Eq. (110). As a result, the white hole undulations possess larger amplitudes. In addition, since the wavelength of the undulation is smaller in the white hole case, it gives rise to even larger amplitudes for a BEC, as can be seen from Eq. (115). These results might also be relevant for surface waves where "transversal instabilities" have been observed [29].

Finally, we emphasize that the properties of the spectrum and the undulations depend on the mass *m* essentially through the effective frequencies  $\omega_L$  and  $\omega_R$  of Eq. (84). These frequencies are both proportional to *m* but also depend in a nontrivial way on  $D_L$  and  $D_R$  which determine the spatial extension of the near horizon region, on the inside and on the outside, respectively. Therefore, as in the case of ultraviolet dispersion, these two quantities should be conceived as the most relevant geometrical properties, after the surface gravity  $\kappa$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Iacopo Carusotto for discussions and numerical simulations about the statistical nature of undulations in BEC. We also thank Carlos Mayoral for collaboration at an early stage of this work, together with Xavier Busch and Yannis Bardoux for discussions. A. F. would like to thank the LPT Orsay for hospitality during various visits. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0856050 to Wake Forest University, as well as the ANR Grant No. STR-COSMO, ANR-09-BLAN-0157.

# APPENDIX A: GENERAL ACOUSTIC D'ALEMBERT EQUATION

In the hydrodynamical approximation, i.e., when neglecting short distance dispersion, linear density perturbations in a moving fluid obey a four-dimensional d'Alembert equation, in a curved space-time [1,10,11,30]:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\phi) = 0.$$
 (A1)

The effective metric is

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\rho}{c} [c^{2}dt^{2} - (dx - v_{x}dt)^{2} - (dy - v_{y}dt)^{2} - (dx - v_{z}dt)^{2}],$$
(A2)

where  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid, *c* the sound speed, and *v* the velocity of the background flow.

When assuming that the flow profile is one dimensional and homogeneous in the perpendicular dimensions, the mode equation becomes effectively two dimensional because the transverse wave number is constant. In what follows, its norm is denoted by  $p_{\perp}$ . Assuming in addition that the flow is stationary, at fixed frequency  $\omega$ , the mode equation reads

$$\left[\frac{1}{\rho}(\omega+i\partial_x v)\frac{\rho}{c^2}(\omega+iv\partial_x)+\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_x\rho\partial_x-p_{\perp}^2\right]\phi_{\omega}(x)=0.$$
(A3)

In the body of the paper, we assumed  $\rho(x) = c(x) = 1$ . This violates the continuity equation of fluid mechanics [31], but it allows us to study exactly soluble models.

It is also interesting to see how Eq. (23) is altered by varying c(x) and  $\rho(x)$ . Modifying the field redefinition of Eq. (22),

$$\phi_{\omega}(x) = \frac{e^{-i\omega \int_{x}^{x} \frac{v(x')dx'}{c^{2}(x') - \nu^{2}(x')}}}{\sqrt{\left|\frac{\rho(c^{2} - \nu^{2})}{c^{2}}\right|}} \varphi_{\omega}(x), \tag{A4}$$

we obtain

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \left(V_G(x) + \frac{p_{\perp}^2 c^2}{c^2 - v^2} - \frac{\omega^2 c^2}{(c^2 - v^2)^2}\right)\right]\varphi_{\omega}(x) = 0,$$
(A5)

where the effective potential is

$$V_G(x) = \frac{\partial_x^2 \sqrt{|\frac{\rho(c^2 - v^2)}{c^2}|}}{\sqrt{|\frac{\rho(c^2 - v^2)}{c^2}|}}.$$
 (A6)

We see that this equation has exactly the same structure as the simplified equation (23) which was used for some of the calculations in this paper.

### **APPENDIX B: HYPERGEOMETRIC SOLUTIONS**

We provide the exact solutions of Eq. (23) for the profiles considered in Secs. III B and III C. In both cases, the solutions are hypergeometric functions. For definiteness, we recall their definition

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (B1)$$

where a, b and z are complex numbers, and c is a nonnegative integer. Their main properties and the asymptotic behaviors of these functions can be found in Refs. [19,20].

We start with the profile of Eq. (58) and use the notation of Eq. (59). For x > 0, the only ABM is

$$\varphi_{\omega}(x) = C(e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})^{-i\varpi}e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}F(1 - i\varpi + i\bar{\Omega}_{+}, 1 - i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}_{+}; 2; e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})$$

where *C* is an arbitrary constant. For x < 0, the general solution is

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\omega}(x) &= A(1 - e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}} - 1)^{i\varpi}F(i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}_{+}, i\varpi + i\bar{\Omega}_{+}; 1 + 2i\varpi; 1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}), \\ &+ B(1 - e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}} - 1)^{-i\varpi}F(-i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}_{+}, -i\varpi + i\bar{\Omega}_{+}; 1 - 2i\varpi; 1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}), \end{split}$$

with A and B arbitrary constants.

For the profile in Eq. (72), and for x > 0, the general solution is

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\omega}(x) &= A(1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}} - 1)^{i\varpi}F(i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}, i\varpi + i\bar{\Omega}; 1 + 2i\varpi; 1 - e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}), \\ &+ B(1 - e^{-\frac{2\kappa x}{D}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}} - 1)^{-i\varpi}F(-i\varpi - i\bar{\Omega}, -i\varpi + i\bar{\Omega}; 1 - 2i\varpi; 1 - e^{\frac{2\kappa x}{D}}). \end{split}$$

Here, A and B are arbitrary constants, and  $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}_{>}$  for  $\omega > \omega_L$ , and  $\overline{\Omega} = i\overline{\Omega}_{<}$  for  $\omega < \omega_L$ . The definitions of these dimensionless quantities are given in Sec. III C.

- [1] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46, 1351 (1981).
- [2] C. Mayoral, A. Recati, A. Fabbri, R. Parentani, R. Balbinot, and I. Carusotto, New J. Phys. 13, 025007 (2011).
- [3] A. Coutant, R. Parentani, and S. Finazzi, Phys. Rev. D 85, 024021 (2012).
- [4] H. Chanson and J. S. Montes, J. Hydraul. Eng. 121, 129 (1995).
- [5] Even though these are well known, they are not completely understood. In the introduction of Ref. [6], one reads: "Still, the characteristics and the formation of an undular hydraulic jump are not fully understood."
- [6] B.A. Wols, M.Sc. thesis, Delft University of Technology (2005) [http://repository.tudelft.nl/view/ir/uuid% 3A867b664f-2674-47d8-a49d-a4bdc6cfbd80/].

- [7] G. Rousseaux, C. Mathis, P. Maissa, T.G. Philbin, and U. Leonhardt, New J. Phys. 10, 053015 (2008).
- [8] S. Weinfurtner, E. W. Tedford, M. C. J. Penrice, W. G. Unruh, and G. A. Lawrence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 021302 (2011).
- [9] I. Carusotto and G. Rousseaux, at the IX SIGRAV School on Analogue Gravity, Como, Italy (2011).
- [10] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 51, 2827 (1995).
- [11] R. Balbinot, A. Fabbri, S. Fagnocchi, and R. Parentani, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 28, 1 (2005).
- [12] P. Anderson et al. (work in progress).
- [13] T. Jacobson and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D 76, 024006 (2007).
- [14] G. Jannes, P. Maissa, T.G. Philbin, and G. Rousseaux, Phys. Rev. D 83, 104028 (2011).

- [15] G. Jannes, JETP Lett. 94, 18 (2011).
- [16] R. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [17] J. Macher and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D 79, 124008 (2009).
- [18] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870 (1976).
- [19] F. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [20] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables* (Dover, New York, 1964).
- [21] C.G. Callan, Jr., S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 45, R1005 (1992).
- [22] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004).
- [23] S. Finazzi and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D 83, 084010 (2011).
- [24] S. Finazzi and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D 85, 124027 (2012).
- [25] J. Macher and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. A 80, 043601 (2009).

- [26] D. Campo and R. Parentani, Phys. Rev. D 72, 045015 (2005).
- [27] A. Coutant, Ph.D. thesis, Universit Paris-Sud 11, 2012.
- [28] R. Balbinot, S. Fagnocchi, and A. Fabbri, Phys. Rev. A 75, 043622 (2007).
- [29] J. Chaline, G. Jannes, P. Massa, and G. Rousseaux, at the IX SIGRAV School on Analogue Gravity, Como, Italy (2011).
- [30] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, Living Rev. Relativity 8, 12 (2005).
- [31] Note that this condition does not concern surface waves because the effective metric is different from that given above [8,32].
- [32] R. Schutzhold and W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 66, 044019 (2002).
- [33] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. D 53, 7082 (1996).
- [34] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, S. Sonego, and M. Visser, New J. Phys. 6, 186 (2004).
- [35] K. Martel and E. Poisson, Am. J. Phys. 69, 476 (2001).