## Solution Set S

1. [15] For this problem we are going to predict properties of an undiscovered particle(s) and predict its properties. We are going to make a table of the nine lightest spin 3/2 baryons
(a) Looking at all the spin $3 / 2$ baryons, divide them into categories based on their strangeness. Invent a generic name for each category. Note that there is a relatively small mass difference among the particles in each category.
(b) Now make a table, with one row for each category. The columns should contain the following information

| Cat. | S | $\#$ | $Q_{\text {ave }}$ | $m_{\text {ave }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ | 0 | 4 | $+1 / 2$ | 1233 |
| $\Sigma^{*}$ | -1 | 3 | 0 | 1384.7 |
| $\Xi^{*}$ | -2 | 2 | $-1 / 2$ | 1533.5 |
| $\Omega$ | -3 | 1 | -1 | 1684 |

(i) your generic name, (ii) strangeness, (iii) number of particles in that category (iv) average electric charge (v) average mass.
(c) There is an additional row which corresponds to an undiscovered category, called $\Omega$. By extrapolation, predict all of the entries of the additional row.

It is pretty obvious what the strangeness, number of particles, and the average charge is: one particle with strangeness -3 and charge -1 . The mass increases almost exactly 150 MeV at each step, so the next row should be right around 1684 MeV .
(d) Look up the properties of the "Omega baryon," and see how well you did on your predictions. For any that are wrong, calculate the percent error.

The charge and strangeness of the lightest omega baryon are right, and there is only one such particle. The mass is 1672.4 , so we are about $0.7 \%$ off. Not too bad.
2. [15] For this problem we are going to need to calculate the hypercharge of various particles, which is defined as $Y=S+B$, the sum of strangeness and baryon number, and the third component of isospin, $I_{3}=Q-\frac{1}{2} Y$, the sum of charge and half of hypercharge.
(a) Find these quantities for the eight lightest spin-0 mesons. Plot the result as points on a 2D graph, with $I_{3}$ on the horizontal axis, and $Y$ on the vertical axis. If two particles have the same value, designate it by drawing a circle around a dot.
(b) Repeat for the eight lightest spin-1/2 baryons.

The calculations for both are trivial and straightforward.


Tables are provided above. The resulting plots are given at right.
3. [10] For each of the following particles (which are made only of up, down, and strange quarks), predict the quark content, based on their baryon number, charge, and strangeness. Denote your answers using $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{d}$, or $\boldsymbol{s}$ for quarks, and $\bar{u}, \bar{d}$ and $\bar{s}$ for antiquarks. It is possible for two particles to have the same quark content
(a) $\Lambda^{0}$ \{uds $\}$ A baryon with strangeness -1 (one strange quark), and total charge zero
(b) $K^{+}\{u \bar{s}\}$ Meson with strangeness +1 (strange anti-quark) and total charge +1
(c) $\bar{\Sigma}^{+}\{\overline{\operatorname{dd}} \bar{s}\}$ Anti-baryon with strangeness +1 (strange anti-quark) and total charge +1
(d) $\Sigma^{0}\{u d s\}$ A baryon with strangeness -1 (one strange quark), and total charge zero
(e) $\pi^{-}\{d \bar{u}\}$ Meson with no strangeness, with total charge -1 .

Graduate Problem: Do the following problem only if you are in PHY 610.
4. [10] There is a symmetry of strong interactions called isospin. We will explore its properties in the nucleons, a two state system consisting of protons $|p\rangle$ and neutrons $|n\rangle$
(a) Define the $I_{3}$ operator as was done in problem 2. Write $I_{3}$ as a $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\{|p\rangle,|n\rangle\}$ space. Since $\boldsymbol{I}_{3}$ is conserved in all interactions, it commutes with the Hamiltonian.

A proton or neutron have definite values of $I_{3}$ which tells us that they are eigenstates, with eigenvalues as found above. So we have $I_{3}|p\rangle=+\frac{1}{2}|p\rangle$ and $I_{3}|n\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}|n\rangle$, or as a matrix,

$$
I_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b) Consider the operator $2 I_{1}$, which converts protons and neutrons and vice-versa. Write $I_{1}$ as a $2 \times 2$ matrix. Since protons and neutrons interact the same in strong interactions, $I_{1}$ commutes with the strong part of the Hamiltonian.

We want $2 I_{1}|p\rangle=|n\rangle$ and $2 I_{1}|n\rangle=|p\rangle$. Writing this as a matrix (and dividing by two) we have

$$
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(c) Define $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ by the commutator $\left[I_{3}, I_{1}\right]=i I_{2}$. Write $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ as a $2 \times 2$ matrix.

Ain't nothin' to it but to do it:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\frac{1}{i}\left[I_{3}, I_{1}\right]=\frac{1}{i}\left(I_{3} I_{1}-I_{1} I_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{4 i}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 i}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) You already have $\left[I_{3}, I_{1}\right]$. Work out $\left[I_{1}, I_{2}\right]$ and $\left[I_{2}, I_{3}\right]$.

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[I_{1}, I_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & -i
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i & 0 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right)=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=i I_{3},} \\
& {\left[I_{2}, I_{3}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=i I_{1} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

These are, in fact, the same commutation relationships that spin satisfy (though those relationships have an extra factor of $\hbar$ included), which explains the "spin" part of "isospin."

